

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE CALIFORNIA BAIL BOND
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master Case No. 3:19-CV-000717-JST
CLASS ACTION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

All Actions

1 After considering the foregoing motion, the papers filed in support, and the arguments of
2 counsel, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' motion for
3 reconsideration concerning the Court's June 6, 2019 order that "contract attorneys will be billed
4 only at the rates actually paid to and received by such attorneys, without mark-up." Dkt. 44 at 4.
5 The Court hereby **VACATES** that portion of the Order.

6 The Court **ORDERS** that, to the extent Interim Class Counsel engages the services of
7 contract attorneys on this matter, counsel will log and bill their time and rates using the same
8 methodology as other attorneys performing similar work. If and when a request for a fee award is
9 made, the Court will review the reasonableness of those rates in light of the prevailing market rate
10 for the nature of the work they perform and their skills, qualifications, and experience.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED ON THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 2019.**

12
13 DATED: _____

14 _____
The Honorable Jon S. Tigar